

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,899		08/21/2003	John L. Sommer	P-11139.00	1340
27581	7590	06/09/2005		EXAM	INER
MEDTRONIC, INC.			ı	ALTER, ALYSSA M	
710 MED	TRONIC PA	ARKWAY NE			<u> </u>
MS-LC340				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604			-	3762	
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/646,899	SOMMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alyssa M. Alter	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (i iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH stute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	1 August 2003.					
	his action is non-final.					
•	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortain of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apportionity documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/04 & 1/24/05 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 6 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Holleman et al. (US patent Publication 20040260355). Holleman et al. discloses a medical lead adaptor designed to be used with two leads and the bore of an implantable medical device (IMD). The first lead, lead 60, has an electrode 66 connected to the connector pin 64 by means of an insulated conductor disposed within lead body 65. The second lead, lead 40, contains a plurality of electrodes 52, 54, 56, and 58 which are "electrically connected to a corresponding connector element 50, 48,46, and 44, respectively, located on a lead connector terminal 42 via electrically isolated conductors carried by a lead body 55" (page 1, paragraph 13). The examiner considers the plurality of connector elements 50, 48,46 to be a plurality of connector pads. The lead 40 terminates into a connector pin 44, for the engagement of the lead to the adaptor 16. The adaptor 16, as seen in figure 2, possesses an inner lumen, ports 32 and 34, for engaging the leads and an external male piece, terminal 20, for engaging the bore of the IMD. Contacts 80, 82, 84, 86 and 90 are provides in the ports

32 and 34, for electrical connection with the connector pads of the leads. Each contact is considered a zone and connects to a respective connector pad.

As to claim 1, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function in not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138*.

As to claims 2 and 3, Holleman et al. discloses on page 1, paragraph 3, that "medical lead connectors have been standardized in the industry." In addition, "ports 34a and 34b may be adapted to receive standard DF-1 lead connectors" (page 1, paragraph 26). Also it is inherent that adaptors conform to an industry standard in order for them to adequately perform with an IMD.

As to claim 6, in figure 1, the tapered portion of the leads, lead connectors 42 and 62, serve as a mechanical stop within the bore. The tapered lead connecters inherently act as a mechanical stop because they remainder of the lead has a larger diameter that is unable to fit within the adaptor lumen.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Holleman et al. (US patent Publication 20040260355) in view of Bischoff et al. (US 5,843,141). Holleman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the sealing rings. Bischoff et al. teaches that it is known to use sealing ring proximal and distal to the lead connectors as set forth in column 1, lines 54-63. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the leads as taught by Holleman et al. with the leads with sealing rings as taught by Bischoff et al., in order to prevent fluid entry.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and

reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

2. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Holleman et al. (US patent Publication 20040260355) in view of Peers-Trevarton (US 4,469,104). Holleman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the protruding key and corresponding depressions. Peers-Trevarton teaches that it is known to utilize protrusions and depressions to mechanically and electrically engage the lead. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrical connections of the lead as taught by Holleman et al. with the electrical and mechanical connections as taught by Peers-Trevarton since such a modification would be a substitution of f known functional equivalents by substituting electrical connectors to electrically engage the lead.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed

Art Unit: 3762

in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Hirschberg et al. (US 5,235,978) discloses an implantable arrangement for the defibrillation or cardioversion of a heart.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,899 Page 7

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alyssa M. Alter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alyssa M Alter Alyssa M Alter Examiner Art Unit 3762

> JEFFREY R. JASTRZAB PRIMARY EXAMINER

> > 5/37/5